

## **REMARKS**

After entry of the present Amendment, claims 1-5 and 10-20 remain in the application with claim 1 in independent form. Claims 6-9 are hereby withdrawn from consideration.

Original claims 1-20 stand subject to a restriction requirement. More specifically, the Examiner restricted the application to one of the following inventions:

Group I        claims 1-5 and 10-20, drawn to a dicing/die bonding sheet.

Group II       claims 6-9, drawn to a method of making a dicing/die bonding sheet.

In response to this restriction, the Applicants elect Group I, thereby retaining claims 1-5 and 10-20. Claims 6-9 have been withdrawn as directed to a non-elected invention that has been subjected to the Restriction Requirement. However, because Group I and Group II are related as product and a process of making the product, the Applicants hereby reserve the right to rejoin the claims of Group II should the claims of Group I ultimately be allowed, with the understanding the should the claims of Group I be amended during prosecution such amendments will be made to the claims of Group II to the extent necessary to maintain consistent claim scope between the claims of Group II and the claims of Group I. (See MPEP 806.05(f), "Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.")

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 08-2789.

Respectfully submitted,

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.**

Dated: December 6, 2007

/Christopher S. Andrzejak/

**Christopher S. Andrzejak, Registration No. 57,212**

The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101

39400 Woodward Avenue

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304-5151

(248) 723-0438